

**PHYSICS 428-1 QUANTUM FIELD THEORY I**

Ian Low, Fall 2008

Course Webpage: <http://www.hep.anl.gov/ian/teaching/QFT/QFT.Fall108.html>*SOLUTIONS FOR ASSIGNMENT #1***Reading Assignments:**

- (a) Read the pages on “Notations and Conventions” in Peskin and Schroeder.  
 (b) F. Wilczek, “Quantum field theory,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, S85 (1999), which can be downloaded at <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9803075>  
 It is all right if you don’t understand everything he says, but you should try to read it over and over again until you think you have understood “something!”

**Problem 1**

Explain what is the phenomenon of “dimensional transmutation?”

(Hint: if you have done your reading assignment, you will be able to answer it.)

Solution:

See Section III of Wilczek’s article.

**Problem 2**Here are a few exercises on dimensional analysis and the Natural Unit system  $c = \hbar = 1$ .

- (a) The proton mass in the SI unit is  $m_p = 1.62 \times 10^{-27}$  kg. Convert  $m_p$  into the Natural Unit. The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN in Geneva is a proton-proton collider designed to have a center-of-mass energy of 14 TeV. What is the speed of the proton, expressed in terms of the speed of light  $c$ , when the LHC is operating at the designed CM energy?  
 (b) In SI unit Maxwell’s equations contain three dimensionful coupling constants: the electric charge of the electron  $e = 1.62 \times 10^{-19}$  C, the permittivity of free space  $\epsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12}$  F/m, and the permeability of free space  $\mu_0 = 1/(\epsilon_0 c^2)$  which can be traded in for the speed of light  $c$ . Can you generate a quantity out of  $e$ ,  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $c$ , and  $\hbar$  that is *dimensionless*? Is there more than one dimensionless quantity that can be generated?  
 (c) Divide your answer(s) in (b) by  $4\pi$  and call it  $\alpha$ . What is the numerical value of  $\alpha$ ? Approximate  $\alpha$  by a fraction  $\alpha \approx 1/N$  where  $N$  is an integer. What is  $N$ ? Can you recognize that  $\alpha$  is a well-known fundamental constant?  
 (d) The Newton’s constant  $G_N = 6.674 \times 10^{-11}$  m<sup>3</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>. In the Natural Unit it has the mass dimension of -2, which is used to define a Planck mass  $M_p = 1.22 \times 10^{-19}$  GeV. Convert  $M_p$  into the SI unit by expressing it in terms of  $G_N$ ,  $\hbar$ , and  $c$ .  
 (e) With a CM energy of 14 TeV, typical energy scales at the LHC will be at around TeV. For a typical quantum mechanical amplitude at the LHC, how large is the correction to the amplitude due to effects of gravity?

Solution:

- (a)  $m_p = 938$  MeV  $\approx 1$  GeV. At 14 TeV CM energy, each proton has an energy of 7 TeV = 7000 GeV. The speed of the proton  $v$  must be so that

$$7000 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}},$$

which implies  $v = 1 - 10^{-8} = 0.99999999$  times the speed of light  $c$ .

(b)  $e^2/(\epsilon_0 \hbar c)$  is the only dimensionless constant.

(c)  $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c) \approx 1/137$  is the fine-structure constant.

(d)  $M_p = (\hbar c^5)/G_N$ .

(e) The gravitational correction is controlled by the Newton's constant  $G_N$ , so the correction must go like  $G_N E^2 = (E/M_p)^2$ , which is the only dimensionless number made out of the scales  $E$  and  $G_N$ . For  $E \approx 10^3$  GeV, this correction is roughly  $10^{-26}$ !!

### Problem 3

During the class we showed that the following amplitude

$$\langle \vec{x} | e^{iHt} | \vec{x} = 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} \quad (1)$$

is non-vanishing outside of the forward light cone of the particle. However, you may protest that the integration measure  $d^3k$  is not invariant under Lorentz transformation and that is why causality is violated. One could, instead, use a relativistically invariant amplitude as follows

$$D(x-y) \equiv \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi) \delta(k^2 - m^2) \Big|_{k^0 > 0} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)}. \quad (2)$$

Use the technique we used in class to show that even this Lorentz-invariant amplitude violates causality in that it still is non-vanishing outside of the forward light cone. There is actually a shortcut to this problem that you are *not* allowed to use:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D(x-y) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)}. \quad (3)$$

However, you can use the above relation to check your answer.

Solution:

Use the identity

$$\delta(k^2 - m^2) = \frac{1}{2\omega_k} (\delta(k^0 - \omega_k) + \delta(k^0 + \omega_k)), \quad (4)$$

where  $\omega_k = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$ ,

$$D(x-y) = \int \frac{d^3k}{2\omega_k} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})} e^{-i\omega_k t} \quad (5)$$

where  $t = x^0 - y^0$ . After performing the straightforward angular integration, like we did in class, and defining  $r = |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|$ ,

$$D(x-y) = -\frac{i}{2\pi^2 r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\vec{k}|}{\omega_k} d|\vec{k}| e^{i|\vec{k}|r} e^{-i\omega_k t}. \quad (6)$$

As explained in class, the integrand is analytic everywhere in the complex plane except for the branch cuts along the imaginary axis starting at  $|\vec{k}| = \pm im$ . We are interested in the case when  $r \gg t$ . The integrand then vanishes at infinity in the upper plane and the integral

is equivalent to an integral along the upper branch cut:

$$D(x-y) = -\frac{i}{2\pi^2 r} \int_m^\infty \frac{i\ell}{\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2}} d(i\ell) e^{-\ell r} \left( e^{\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2} t} + e^{-\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2} t} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{i}{\pi^2 r} e^{-mr} \int_m^\infty d\ell \ell e^{-(\ell-m)r} \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2} t)}{\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2}}. \quad (8)$$

The main difference between  $D(x-y)$  and its non-relativistic counter part is the extra  $1/\omega_k$  factor in  $D(x-y)$ . Since  $\omega_k$  changes sign when one goes across the branch cut, the integrand is  $e^{\text{Im}\omega_k t}/\text{Im}\omega_k$  along one side of the cut and  $e^{-\text{Im}\omega_k t}/(-\text{Im}\omega_k)$  along the other side of the cut. This results in the relative plus sign in the integrand for  $D(x-y)$  whereas it is a relative minus sign for the non-relativistic counter part.

One can see that  $D(x-y)$  is non-vanishing outside of the forward light cone since the integrand is positive. Moreover, it is easy to see that indeed Eq. (3) is true.