

**PHYSICS 428-1 QUANTUM FIELD THEORY I**

Ian Low, Fall 2008

Course Webpage: [http://www.hep.anl.gov/ian/teaching/QFT/QFT\\_Fall08.html](http://www.hep.anl.gov/ian/teaching/QFT/QFT_Fall08.html)*SOLUTIONS FOR ASSIGNMENT #2***Reading Assignments:**

- (a) Read the handout by Professor Michael Dine at UC Santa Cruz on relativity at <http://scipp.ucsc.edu/~dine/ph217/217relativity.pdf>  
 (b) Section 3.1 of Peskin and Schroeder.

**Problem 1**Do exercises *a*, *e*, and *h* in the reading assignment (a).Solution:

(a)

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \omega & 0 & 0 & -\sinh \omega \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sinh \omega & 0 & 0 & \cosh \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

(e) In the Lab frame the proton is at rest so  $k_p = (m_p, \vec{0})$  and  $k_e = (\omega_e, \vec{k}_e)$ . Then  $s = (k_e + k_p)^2 = (m_p + \omega_e)^2 - |\vec{k}_e|^2$ .

(h) The tensor transforms as

$$F^{\mu\nu'} = \Lambda_\alpha^\mu \Lambda_\beta^{\nu'} F^{\alpha\beta},$$

where  $\Lambda_\alpha^\mu$  is given in (a). The electric field is  $E^i = F^{i0}$  and the magnetic field is  $B^i = (1/2)\epsilon^{i0\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ . Therefore we have

$$E^{i'} = \Lambda_\alpha^i \Lambda_\beta^{0'} F^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$B^{i'} = (1/2)\epsilon^{i0\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} = (1/2)\epsilon^{i0\mu\nu} \Lambda_\mu^\alpha \Lambda_\nu^{\beta'} F_{\alpha\beta}$$

Plug in  $\Lambda$  to get the explicit expression.**Problem 2**

Do Problem 3.1 in Peskin and Schroeder. (We haven't introduced a Dirac spinor yet. However, you have all learned a two-component Pauli spinor in Quantum Mechanics. For the purpose of this problem, think of the two-component Weyl spinor as the Pauli spinor, which should enable you to do the problem.)

Solution:

(a)

$$[L^i, L^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} L^k, \quad [L^i, K^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} K^k, \quad [K^i, K^j] = -i\epsilon^{ijk} L^k.$$

From the above it is simple to show that

$$[J_\pm^i, J_\pm^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} J_\pm^k, \quad [J_+^i, J_-^j] = 0$$

(b) Using the relation

$$L^j = (J_+^j + J_-^j), \quad K^j = -i(J_+^j - J_-^j)$$

we see that an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation can now be written as

$$\Phi \rightarrow \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} J_+^i (i\theta^i + \beta^i) - \frac{1}{2} J_-^i (i\theta^i - \beta^i) \right) \Phi.$$

For the  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  representation, set  $J_+^i = \sigma^i/2$  and  $J_-^i = 0$ , whereas for the  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  representation set  $J_-^i = \sigma^i/2$  and  $J_+^i = 0$ . Then we see that these are exactly the transformation law of  $\psi_L$  and  $\psi_R$  given in (3.37) of Peskin and Schroeder.

(c) Define  $\bar{\sigma}_\mu = (1, \vec{\sigma})$  and write

$$\begin{pmatrix} V^0 + V^3 & V^1 - iV^2 \\ V^1 + iV^2 & V^0 - V^3 \end{pmatrix} = V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu,$$

which now transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu &\rightarrow \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma^i}{2} (i\theta^i - \beta^i) \right) V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^i}{2} (i\theta^i + \beta^i) \right) \\ &\rightarrow V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu - \frac{\sigma^i}{2} (i\theta^i - \beta^i) V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu + V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu \frac{\sigma^i}{2} (i\theta^i + \beta^i) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2, \theta^2) \\ &= V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} V^\mu \beta^i \{ \sigma^i, \bar{\sigma}_\mu \} - \frac{i}{2} V^\mu \theta^i [ \sigma^i, \bar{\sigma}_\mu ] \end{aligned}$$

Now use the following identities

$$\{ \sigma^i, \sigma^j \} = 2\delta^{ij}, \quad [ \sigma^i, \sigma^j ] = 2i\epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k$$

one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu &\rightarrow V^\mu \bar{\sigma}_\mu + V^0 \beta^i \sigma^i + V^i \beta^i + V^j \theta^i \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \\ &= (\delta^\mu_\nu + \omega^\mu_\nu) V^\nu \bar{\sigma}_\mu \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega^i_0 = \omega^0_i = \beta^i$  and  $\omega^j_i = -\omega^i_j = \epsilon^{ijk} \theta^k$ . Using  $\omega_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\alpha} \omega^\alpha_\nu$  it is clear that  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  is anti-symmetric. Therefore  $V^\mu$  transforms like a Lorentz vector as in Eq. (3.19) in Peskin and Schroeder.

### Problem 3

We would like to consider a two-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator with the following Hamiltonian in Cartesian coordinate:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$

where I have set  $m = \omega = 1$  for simplicity. The operators satisfy  $[x_i, p_j] = -i\delta_{ij}$

(a) Write down the Hamiltonian in terms of creation and annihilation operators  $\{a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger, a_1, a_2\}$ , which satisfy the commutation relation  $[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$ . (All other commutators are zero.)

(b) The Hamiltonian obviously has rotational invariance in the two-dimensional space:

$U(R)x_iU(R)^\dagger = D(R)_{ij}x_j$ , where  $D(R)$  is a  $2 \times 2$  orthogonal matrix. A less obvious invariance is a complex rotation  $S$  in  $(a_1, a_2)$ ,

$$U(S)a_iU(S)^\dagger = D(S)_{ij}a_j,$$

where  $D(S)$  is a complex  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Work out the condition on  $D(S)$  in order for the Hamiltonian to be invariant under  $S : U(S)H U(S)^\dagger = H$ . Show that different states related by an  $S$  transformation  $|a\rangle = U(S)|b\rangle$  are degenerate in energy.

(c) Define the one-particle states  $\{|i\rangle = a_i^\dagger|0\rangle, i = 1, 2\}$ . We discussed in class that any operator can be expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators. Consider a set of operators  $\{T^a, a = 1, 2, 3\}$  whose effects on the one-particle states are

$$T^a|0\rangle = 0, \quad T^a|i\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|j\rangle[\sigma^a]_{ij}$$

where  $\sigma^a$ 's are the Pauli matrices and  $[\sigma^a]_{ij}$  are the matrix elements of Pauli matrices. Find the representation of  $T^a$  in terms of the creation and annihilation operators.

(d) Use your result in (c) to compute the commutators  $[T^a, a_i^\dagger]$ .

Solution:

(a)

$$H = (a_1^\dagger \ a_2^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) From (a) we see that the matrix  $D(S)$  must satisfy  $D(S)^\dagger D(S) = 1$ . Since  $[H, U(S)] = 0$ ,  $H(U(S)|b\rangle) = U(S)(H|b\rangle) = E_b(U(S)|b\rangle)$  so  $U(S)|b\rangle$  and  $|b\rangle$  have the same energy.

(c)  $T^a$  maps a one-particle state into another one-particle state, so it must be the product of one creation and one annihilation operator. Use the notation  $\alpha = (a_1 \ a_2)^T$ , it is straightforward to work out that

$$T^a = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^\dagger \sigma^a \alpha$$

where  $\sigma^a$  are the Pauli matrices.

(d)

$$[T^a, a_i^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2}a_j^\dagger[\sigma^a]_{ji}$$

where  $[\sigma^a]_{ij}$  is the matrix element of  $\sigma^a$ .

A physical system corresponding to such a Hamiltonian is that of a proton and a neutron. The extra symmetry exhibited here is called the ‘‘isospin symmetry.’’